	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/625,544	HEBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>AFTER-FINAL</u>			
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .	(3)	(3)	
(2) <u>kEN LOWE</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 15 January 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE			
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE			
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT). CHANGES INCLUDE: INSERTING THE WORD --COATED-- AFTER "PLACING THE" (CL 26, LN 9)..